

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,999	10/01/1999	CHRISTOPHER COSGROVE CREAGAN	13098	7748
75	90 03/06/2002			
JAMES B ROBINSON KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE INC 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
NEENAH, WI	54956		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	n
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			A9-11			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/410,999	CREAGAN ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia Chevalier	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avfinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application	a in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the approprial unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	MPEP te extension te extension e action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	ying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>		dered but does NOT pla	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .		,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $\underline{}$ is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly amended claims 1 and 3 raise new issues requiring a novel search and further consideration because they now recite "second layer having density between 0.01 and 0.05 g/cc." Original claim 2 recited "said second layer may have densities between 0.01 and 0.05 g/cc," not positively reciting the limitation. The subsequent amendment filed October 5, 2001 removed this limitation completely from the claims and amended claim 1 to recite "second layer having density between 0.01 and 0.15 g/cc." Thus, a new search and consideration is required since the limitation has been added back in to the independent claim and is now positively recited. Furthermore, any limitations of claim 2 add to claim 1 create a new combination for claims 3-5 that was not previously considered, since claims 3-5 were not originally dependent on claim 2.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment which is not being entered; thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

BLAINE COPENHEAVER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700